

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,377 09/26/2003		Makoto Haseyama	990675B	6906	
38834	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	MAN, HATTORI, DAI	CHAN, EMILY Y			
1250 CONN SUITE 700	IECTICUT AVENUE, N	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TON, DC 20036	2829			
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/670,37		HASEYAMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	-			
		Emily Y. C		2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 June 2006.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,	the second of th							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	on Papers							
• , —	The specification is objected to by the I							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/333,984. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pounds) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		[·] O-152)			

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gleason et al US Patent No. 5,914,613 in view of Hino et al US patent No. 5,848,465.

Gleason et al (613) expressly disclose a method of testing a device as claimed, comprising the step of electrically connecting electrode pads (92,93) of a device testing contactor (probe card 52) to electrodes (100) of a device being tested (see Fig. 1, die area 44);

the electrode pads (88, 92,93) being formed on a membrane-type flexible wiring board (flexible membrane assembly 72) of the device testing contactor (52), and being reinforced by a reinforcing member (98, 70).

Gleason et al (613) fail to disclose that their wiring board (72) and the reinforcing member (98, 70) are collectively molded and bonded to each other.

Hino et al US ('465) disclose a method of fabrication of a probe (see Abstract and Fig. 2a) comprising a flexible substrate 1 and a rigid substrate 3 (see blow)

Application/Control Number: 10/670,377

Art Unit: 2829





Hino et al US ('465) exclusively teach that the flexible substrate 1 (a flexible wiring board) and the rigid substrate 3 (a reinforcing member) are collectively molded and bonded to each other (see abstract "joining the flexible substrate and a rigid frame substrate ... by bonding them ..." and see Col. 4, lines 25-27).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hino et al US ('465) into Gleason et al (613) 's method so that Gleason et al (613)'s membrane-type flexible wiring board (flexible membrane assembly 72) and a reinforcing member (70,98) are collectively molded and bonded to each other for the expected benefit of applying planar tension to the flexible wiring board for determination of various electric performance and circuit testing at high temperatures such as burn-in as disclosed by Hino et al US ('465) (see Col. 2, lines 8-10 and Col. 1, lines 5-8).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argued that the reference Gleason et al (613) does not

Application/Control Number: 10/670,377

Art Unit: 2829

teach that the wiring board (72a) and the reinforcing member (70, 98) are collectively

molded and bonded (see page 6 of the Remarks). However, this feature is taught by

Hino et al US ('465) (see rejection above).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Y. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,377

Art Unit: 2829

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ha T Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EC 8/1/06

VINH NGUYÉN PRIMARY EXAMINER

08/02/06